

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA  
3

4 MANUEL MELENDEZ,

Case No. 2:15-cv-02076-JAD-VCF

5 Petitioner,

6 v.

**Order Granting Enlargement of Time**

7 DWIGHT NEVEN, et al.,

[ECF No. 72]

8 Respondents.

9 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that respondents' motion for  
10 enlargement of time [ECF No. 72] is **GRANTED**. Respondents have until July 9, 2020, to answer  
11 to the remaining claims of Petitioner Manuel Melendez's Second Amended Petition.<sup>1</sup>

12 Melendez initiated this habeas action over four and a half years ago in October 2015.<sup>2</sup>  
13 Given the age of this case,<sup>3</sup> counsel for both parties are directed to prioritize the briefing in this  
14 case over later-filed matters. **Further extensions of time are not likely to be granted absent**  
15 **compelling circumstances and a strong showing of good cause why a response could not be**  
16 **filed within the extended time allowed despite the exercise of due diligence.**

17 Dated: May 29, 2020

18  
19   
20 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey  
21  
22  
23  
24

25 <sup>1</sup> ECF No. 51.

26 <sup>2</sup> ECF No. 1.

27 <sup>3</sup> Habeas actions are civil actions under federal practice and are subject to the reporting requirements of the  
28 Civil Justice Reform Act of 1990 ("CJRA"), 28 U.S.C. § 471 *et seq.* The CJRA sets a three-year goal for  
resolution of each civil case on the merits, *id.* § 476(a)(3), and encourages "setting, at the earliest practicable  
time, deadlines for filing motions and a time framework for their disposition," *id.* § 473(a).